Laguna Madre Port Isabel to Mud Flats, Vicinity of Mile 660 GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000

Alternative Dredging and Placement Cost Estimates

Prepared for:
Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc.
P. O. Box 519
Austin, Texas 78767

and

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers 2000 Fort Point Road Galveston, Texas 77550

August 1, 1997

Prepared by:
Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc.
5757 Woodway Drive, Suite 210
Houston, Texas 77057

Table of Contents

		•	Page No.
Sum	mary		1
1.0	Intro	duction	5
	1.1	Purpose and Scope	5
	12	Project Description	6
	1.3	Geographic Location	6
	1 4	Assumptions	6
2.0	Produ	action Analysis and Dredging Time	8
	2 1	Time Required (Dredge Days)	9
	1(A)	Current Method	10
	2(B)	Pump Material North to Placement Area 232	10
	3(C)	Pump Material North to Placement Area 231	10
	4(D)	Pump Material South to Placement Area 235	10
	5(I)	Gulf Placement by Scow Barge	10
	6(K)	Gulf Placement Underwater Berm	11
	7(L)	Open Bay Placement by Spill Barge	11
	8(M)	Beach Placement North of B I.H.	12
	9(N)	Beach Placement East Side of Padre Island	12
		Upland Placement on Padre Island	12
		Upland Placement at Placement Area 241	13
		Upland Placement at South End of Long Island	13
	13(S)	Upland Placement at Holly Beach	13
3.0		native Cost Estimates	14
	1(A)	Current Method	14
	2(B)	Pump Material North to Placement Area 232	15
	3(C)	Pump Material North to Placement Area 231	16
	4(D)	Pump Material South to Placement Area 235	17
	5(I)	Gulf Placement by Scow Barge	18
	6(K)	Gulf Placement Underwater Berm	19
	7(L)	Open Bay Placement by Spill Barge	19
	8(M)	Beach Placement North of B.I.H.	20
	9(N)	Beach Placement East Side of Padre Island	21
	10(O)	Upland Placement on Padre Island	22
		•	23
	12(R)	<u> </u>	25
	13(S)	Upland Placement at Holly Beach	25
4.0		ting and Ownership Cost	27
	4.1	24"/27" Hydraulic Cutterhead Dredge	27
	4 2	24"/27" Booster	27
	4.3	Spill Barge with Diffuser	27
	4 4 4.5	Bucket Dredge and Scows Subline Pipeline Cost	28 28
	4.3	Submic Fibernic Cost	28

Table of Cor	Page No.		
5.0	Unla	nd Placement Sites	29
- '	5.1	Placement Area 241	29
	5.2	Placement Area South End of Long Island	29
	5.3		29
	5 4	Placement Area at Holly Beach	29
6.0	Conc	clusions and Recommendations	31
	6 1	Conclusions	31
	62	Recommendations	31
Table	·S:		
Table		Summary of Alternatives	4
Table	2	Dredge Volume Calculations	32
Draw	ings:		
Figur	_	Clamshell Bucket Operation (empty position)	
Figure		Clamshell Bucket Operation (loaded position)	
Figure		Cutterhead Dredge Operation	
_	ıa.Dwg	Dredge Material Placement Alternatives	

Summary

Purpose and Scope of Work:

This study has been prepared by Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc., a Subcontractor to Espey, Huston & Associates, Inc. of Austin, Texas, in accordance with the Subcontract Agreement dated July 8, 1997 and in connection with Contract No. DACW64-94-D-0006 which EH&A has contracted with the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

The purpose of this study is to provide cost estimates for various maintenance dredging alternatives from an identified shoal in the Port Isabel to Corpus Christi bay reach of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (GIWW) The various cost estimates involve both cutterhead pipeline and clamshell bucket dredges, and the transportation and placement of this material at different locations in the Laguna Madre Mud Flats vicinity The placement site options consist of open bay, Gulf offshore and near shore, beach and upland sites

The scope of work for these cost estimates included a meeting with the Galveston District Corps of Engineers to obtain available data from recent and past dredging projects, utilizing existing data to classify the types of material, and to compute the dredging volumes using available data to provide a detailed cost estimate and report.

Material Analysis:

The material is primarily silt with very soft mud and a small quantity of fine sand. Several of the alternatives envision using this material for beach fill and underwater berm construction. The lack of coarse grain sands in the material to be dredged raises compatibility issues with respect to the native beach sands when used as beach fill. Hydraulic placement of this material via dredge pipelines would probably erode more of the existing beach than would be created. This lack of characteristics usually found in structural fill does not readily lend itself to underwater berm construction. This material will probably create water quality concerns when pumped on the beach or bottom dumped from barges near shore.

Production Rates and Dredging Time:

The hourly production rates and daily operating time were derived from previous dredging history of the GIWW in the Laguna Madre for cutterhead pipeline dredges. The hourly production rates and operating time were adjusted, as necessary, to reflect the installation and removal of submerged pipelines and booster pumps. There are no previous clamshell dredging records available for the GIWW in this area. The hourly production rates and daily operating time for bucket dredging were estimated from comparable projects in other geographic locations.

USACE\Laguna 660 -1-

Costs:

The estimated costs include the costs of performing the work (dredging and placement), mobilization and demobilization, and the cost for dike construction (placement area preparation). A summary of the project costs with the selected equipment and with placement as upland, open bay, offshore, near shore and beach placement are shown in Table 1, entitled Summary of Alternatives, on page 4.

Market Factors:

The costs estimated herein are based on contractor's costs and assume a fair and reasonable return to the contractor for his efforts. The dredging market for the last half of fiscal year 1997 has experienced an upward trend due mainly to the effects of the Federal funding cycle and scheduling, and from increased workload and availability of dredge plants. The bid prices at this time may be higher than previously advertised projects in this area. Also, the smaller dredging quantity may not be attractive to some of the larger dredging contractors due to noncompetitive mobilization and operating costs associated with large dredges on small projects.

Conclusions:

There should be cutterhead pipeline dredges available to bid on this project. None would be eager to work with a floating pipeline placing material in the near shore berm site in the Gulf of Mexico. The alternatives that utilize pipeline lengths greater than 25,000 to 30,000 feet may tend to reduce competition, resulting in increased cost for the project. Smaller dredging contractors normally do not have large pipe inventories and have problems justifying large ownership costs on small projects. It may be difficult to get a clamshell bucket dredge bid due to the current lack of bucket dredges and scow barges on the Gulf Coast.

Recommendations:

The thirteen alternatives can be characterized in three basic cost groups. Group One consists of four alternatives 1(A), 2(B), 4(D) and 7(L) and appear to be the more cost-effective solutions to dredging the shoal. The current method 1(A) is naturally the most cost-effective approach due to the short pumping distance and easy access to the placement areas. Alternatives 2(B), 4(D) and 7(L) are basically equal in the cost-effective category and may need more detailed investigation and evaluation. Group Two consists of five alternatives 3(C), 8(M), 9(N), 10(O) and 13(S) and are at the next higher level of cost-effectiveness Group Three consists of the four remaining alternatives 5(I), 6(K), 11(Q) and 12(R) and would be the least desirable methods from a cost-effective viewpoint.

Group One alternatives have historically been the more commonly used dredging practices for removing shoals in this section of the GIWW with 1(A) being the most cost-effective and commonly used alternative The remaining three alternatives 2(B), 4(D) and 7(L) are essentially equal in cost and also commonly used dredging methods for this area Bid prices should be competitive for this type of dredging.

USACENLaguna.660 -2-

Group Two consists of five alternatives, all of which are less commonly used practices for dredging in this area. Alternatives 3(C), 10(O) and 13(S): because of the long submerged pipeline lengths and booster pumps, and 8(M) and 9(N) because of the long pipeline lengths and placement of this type of material as beach fill. These methods of dredging reduces competition which results in higher and more variable bid prices.

Group Three consists of the four remaining alternatives which are all highly uncommon methods for dredging shoals in the GIWW. Alternatives 5(I) and 6(K) because of the shallow draft conditions and lack of available equipment; and 11(Q) and 12(R): because of the extremely long submerged pipelines and multiple booster pumps. Due to the high degree of difficulty and uncertainties involved in these methods of dredging, it will be questionable as to the ability to secure bids for the project.

Further refinement of these estimates would require detailed field investigations including, but not limited to, geotechnical investigations, hydrographic and topographic surveys of pipeline routes, haul routes and proposed placement sites.

Due to the mobilization and pipeline handling costs associated with some of the alternatives and the small size of the project, some reduction in unit prices could occur through economy of scale if this reach is included in the maintenance dredging contract of other reaches of the GIWW as is currently practiced.

Another alternative that should be evaluated would be to dredge with a clamshell, haul and dump the material in a remote area of Port Isabel Harbor and rehandle with a small relatively inexpensive pipeline dredge to Placement Area 241 or Long Island This method would reduce the idle and standby cost of a barge pumpout unit and also decrease the unloading time for the scow barges

USACE\Laguna 660 -3-

-4-

Table 1 Summary of Alternatives GIWW Stations 45+000 - 65+000 699,612 Pay Cubic Yards; 874,500 Gross Cubic Yards

	Time	Production	Mob. and	Placement	Dredge				
	Required	i Rate:	Demob.	Area	Pipeline	Operating	Ownership	Total	Total
Alternativ	e Days	Pay CY/Day	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost	Unit Cost
1(A)	27 (25,912	\$204,138	\$0	\$0	\$333,202	\$222,135	\$759,475	\$1 09
2(B)	31 :	2 22,423	\$249,138	\$0	\$155,000	\$514,755	\$343,170	\$1,262,063	\$1.80
3(C)	34	1 20,516	\$271,638	\$0	\$250,000	\$660,073	\$440,048	\$1,621,759	\$2 32
4(D)	31.2	22,423	\$271,638	\$0	\$165,000	\$518,216	\$345,478	\$1,300,332	\$1.86
5(I)	146	4,792	\$237,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,751,651	\$1,171,768	\$3,160,419	\$4.53
6(K)	167	4,189	\$237,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,010,465	\$1,340,310	\$3,587,775	\$5 13
7(L)	34.	20,456	\$249,138	\$0	\$105,000	\$530,978	\$353,986	\$1,239,102	\$1 77
8(M) ¹	36	19,167	\$324,138	\$0	\$365,000	\$819,120	\$546,080	\$2,054,338	\$2 94
9(N) ¹	* 34 :	20,456	\$294,138	\$0	\$315,000	\$667,700	\$445,133	\$1,721,971	\$2.46
10(O)	34 :	20,456	\$271,638	\$298,358	\$275,000	\$660,111	\$440,074	\$1,945,181	\$2.78
11(Q)	• 44 (15,616	\$399,138	\$213,360	\$525,000	\$1,287,856	\$858,571	\$3,283,925	\$4 69
12(R)	44 (15,616	\$399,138	\$265,300	\$525,000	\$1,287,856	\$858,571	\$3,335,865	\$4 77
13(S) '	* 32	21,727	\$271,638	\$265,870	\$235,000	\$614,363	\$409,575	\$1,796,446	\$2 57

Notes: * Questionable ability to secure competitive bids

** Material not suitable for this type of placement

1.0 Introduction

Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc. entered into an agreement to perform consulting engineering services for the purpose of providing cost estimates for a number of maintenance dredging alternatives to remove shoals from the "Port Isabel to Mud Flats" reach of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway GIWW between stations 45+000 to 65+000, vicinity of Mile 660. Due to the sensitive environmental resources of the area, thirteen separate placement areas will be addressed with cost estimates provided for each of the thirteen alternatives.

1.1 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this work is to provide cost estimates to aide in the evaluation of various maintenance dredging alternatives for an identified shoal in the Port Isabel to Corpus Christi Bay reach of the GIWW near Mile 660. The scope of work consists of removing 699,612 cubic yards of maintenance material from the "Port Isabel to Mud Flats" reach of the GIWW between channel stations 45+000 to 65+000 by cutterhead pipeline and/or clamshell bucket dredging, and placing this material in one of the thirteen material placement area alternatives.

- 1(A) This alternative calls for the shoal in the channel to be maintained using a cutterhead pipeline dredge and placing the material in adjacent areas 233 and 234
- 2(B) This alternative involves placing the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead dredge to the nearest placement area north of the shoal, Placement Area 232. No dike construction or placement area preparation is required.
- 3(C) This alternative involves placing the shoal material dredged by cutterhead dredge to the second placement area north of the shoal, Placement Area 231 No dike construction or placement area preparation is required.
- 4(D): This alternative involves placing of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead dredge to the nearest placement area south of the shoal, Placement Area 235 This alternative does not call for any site work at the placement area
- 5(I). This alternative involves dredging by clamshell, transporting dredged material by barge and placing into the ocean placement area currently approved for the Brazos Island Harbor (B I H) entrance channel.
- 6(K) This alternative would use the dredged material to create a submergent berm to absorb wave energy and decrease shoreline erosion. Placement could be accomplished by barge or pipeline
- 7(L): This alternative uses a bottom discharge placement method to increase the elevation of the bay bottom to a level that would support new seagrass growth

USACE\Laguna 660 -5-

- 8(M): This alternative involves placement of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to the beach north of the BIH entrance channel.
- 9(N): This alternative calls for the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to be placed on the beach on the east side of Padre Island immediately east of station 55+000.
- 10(O): This alternative involves placement of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead dredge to a new placement area on Padre Island immediately east of station 55+000. The area will require dikes, spillways and inside slope protection.
- 11(Q) This alternative involves the most economical of two alternatives dredging by cutterhead and pumping the material to Placement Area 241, or removal by clamshell and barging the material to Placement Area 241. This area requires dike and spillway repairs and new dike construction
- 12(R). This alternative is similar to Alternative 11(Q), but uses a new placement area on the south end of Long Island. This area requires new dikes and a spillway.
- 13(S): This alternative calls for the reach to be maintained using a cutterhead dredge with placement in a new site at a development area in Holly Beach. This area is on the mainland west of the shoal area and would require new dikes and a spillway

1.2 Project Description

This section of the GIWW is a 12-foot-deep by 125-foot-wide project channel that extends 117 miles from Corpus Christi Bay to Port Isabel through the Laguna Madre The project consists of maintenance dredging 699,612 cubic yards of pay quantity evenly distributed in the GIWW between channel stations 45+000 to 65+000 and transporting it to the identified dredged material placement areas by the method described for each of the thirteen alternatives.

1.3 Geographic Location

The project is located on the western shore of the Gulf of Mexico near Mile 660 of the GIWW It is approximately 100 miles south of the Port of Corpus Christi, Texas and approximately 30 miles north of the Port of Brownsville, Texas

1.4 Assumptions

The following assumptions were made concerning the dredging and placement of the shoal material in the cost estimate

USACE\Laguna.660 -6-

)

- (1) All necessary dredge pipeline rights-of-way will be obtained
- (2) All upland placement easements have been obtained.
- (3) Placement Areas 231, 232, 233, 234 and 235 are unconfined areas and no retaining dikes are required.
- (4) Culverts are available or will be provided for pipeline rights-of-way to the beach when crossing existing streets and highways
- (5) Clear navigation depth from the dredge site to the placement area exists for bottom dump barges loaded to a draft of 11 feet MLT
- (6) Beach placement areas are considered unconfined placement areas
- (7) Fuel prices are estimated at \$0.75 per gallon
- (8) Retaining dikes can readily be constructed using in situ material with no foundation concerns at new upland placement areas at Holly Beach, Long Island and Padre Island
- (9) Submerged pipelines (sublines) may be layed to all the existing placement sites used for cutterhead pipeline dredging using conventional techniques
- (10) Traffic and weather delays for the clamshell dredging and barge haul will be minimal
- (11) Due to the characteristics of the shoal material, no overflow will be allowed when loading scow barges
- (12) Engineering, administration, permitting and supervision costs are not included
- (13) Water quality requirements are adjusted to meet the dredging, transport and placement technique utilized for a particular alternative
- (14) Dragging or leveling placement area for alternative 7(L) will not be required.
- (15) The material is primarily silt and very soft mud.

USACE\Laguna 660 -7~

2.0 Production Analysis

This section of the GIWW is a 12-foot-deep by 125-foot-wide project. The channel is dredged to -14.0 feet Mean Low Tide (MLT) required depth, for advanced maintenance, and a 2-foot allowable, paid overdepth with 1-foot vertical on 3-foot horizontal side slopes. The shoal area covers 20,000 feet from stations 45+000 to 65+000

- (1) Estimated pay quantity = 699,612 cy. 699,612 cy ÷ 20,000 feet = 35 cy/ft. The pay quantity is the limiting amount of material which will be measured and paid for when excavated from the prescribed contract template.
- (2) The estimates used a factor of 25% for nonpay or gross dredging. This is material that is dredged beyond the limits of contract template due to the physical constraints of the dredging process 699,612 pay cy x .25 = 174,888 cy of nonpay dredging.
- (3) The total dredge quantity for each alternative is 874,500 cy 874,500 cy 20,000 ft = 44 cy/ft. This quantity is significant because it represents the total amount of material excavated and transported to the placement site and is used to determine capacity requirements for confined placement, as well as the time required to perform the work
- (4) The material is primarily silt and very soft mud with a small amount of fine sand Historically, maintenance dredging of this channel has been by cutterhead pipeline dredges and pumped into adjacent open water placement areas along the channel The dredges have varied in size from 16" to 27" and have operated on less than 3,000 feet of pipeline with a minimum amount of power, 2000 HP or less

Since there are a number of alternatives which require long pipelines and booster pumps, a 24" or 27" equivalent size dredge is estimated for hydraulic cutterhead dredging and a 12-cubic-yard clamshell dredge for mechanical dredging. The history for 24" or 27" cutterhead dredges operating under these similar conditions indicates an hourly production capacity of 1800 cubic yards per hour and 18:00 hours per day operating time. The production rate of 1800 cy/hr or any other hourly production rate used in this report to compute dredging time is based on total dredged quantity or gross quantity dredged per hour. The operating time per day is reduced one hour per day to consider the effects of handling submerged pipelines, and one additional hour per day for delays associated with each booster pump. The cubic yard per hour production rate is reduced as the pipeline increases and also at times when boosters and pipelines are added in different combinations.

Cubic yards per hour (less than 3,000 ft pipeline) = 1800 cy/hr

Operating time per day (without subline) = 18:00 hrs/day

Operating time per day (with subline) = 17 00 hrs/day

Operating time per day (with subline and 1 booster) = 16 00 hrs/day

USACE\Laguna 660 -8-

(5) A 12-cubic-yard clamshell dredge and two each 2000 and 4000-cubic-yard scow barges were selected primarily because of the availability of this type of equipment on the Gulf Coast. The production rates for these scenarios will be at approximately 50% of capability due to draft restrictions on the barges and towing vessels, light loading, no overflow and the silty fluid type of material to be dredged. With the above restrictions, the cubic yards per barge load will be as follows:

1	4000 cy Scow Barge	(11-foot draft)		2000 cy/load
1	4000 cy Scow Barge	(11-foot draft)		2000 cy/load
1	2000 cy Scow Barge	(11-foot draft)		1000 cy/load
<u>1</u>	2000 cy Scow Barge	(11-foot draft)		1000 cy/load
4	each loads			6000 cy
Averag	ge cubic yards per barge load		=	1500 cv

Generally, the average cycle time of a 12-cubic-yard clamshell dredge is 68 seconds per bucket. Using 50 working minutes per hour:

50 working min/hr x 60 seconds/minute \div 68 seconds/bucket = 44 1 buckets/hour Using a 12-cubic-yard bucket and considering the silty, fluid material and a small vertical face at times the average bucket load would be three-fourths full or 75% loaded

	12 cy clamshell x 75% loaded	=	9 cy/bucket
	44.1 buckets/hour x 9 cy/bucket	=	397 cy/hour
	Average operating time per day	==	19.00 hrs/day
	Average daily production. 19 hrs/day x 397 cy/hr	=	7,543 cy/day
	say	=	7,500 cy/day
(6)	For Placement Area 241 and Long Island		
	Use 5 each barge loads per day x 1500 cy/barge	=	7,500 cy/day
(7)	For offshore placement, use 4 each barge loads per day due		
	to the added travel distance and time to the placement area.		
	4 each barge loads per day x 1500 cy/barge	=	6,000 cy/day
(8)	For near shore (underwater berm) placement, use 3.5 barge		
	loads per day due to the added travel time and also positionin time at the berm location:	g	
	3.5 each barge loads per day x 1500 cy/barge	=	5,250 cy/day
		-	5,250 Cyrday

2.1 Time Required (Dredge Days)

The following are the thirteen alternative methods of removing the shoal and the dredging time required for each alternative. The time required, or dredge days, for each alternative is computed by dividing the production rate for the alternative into the dredge quantity to obtain the total hours required; then divide the daily operating time for the alternative into the total hours to obtain the dredge days

USACE\Laguna 660 -9-

- 1(A) Current Method. Use cutterhead pipeline dredge and pump into adjacent Placement Areas 233 and 234 with no dike cost Total pipeline. 1000 to 2000 ft Operating time per Day = 18.00 hrs/day Cubic Yards per Hour = 1800 cy/hr 874,500 cy 1800 cy/hr + 18.00 hrs/day = 27 0 days
- 2(B) Unconfined Method. Use cutterhead pipeline dredge and pump north to Placement Area 232 with no dike repair cost. Minimum pipeline 10,000 feet and maximum pipeline 26,000 feet

```
Operating time per Day, 18 hours - 1 hour
                                                                      17.00 hrs/day
Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and
deduct one additional hour per day when booster
is added in pipeline
                                                             ==
                                                                      16.00 hrs/day
Cubic Yards per Hour
                                                             ==
                                                                     1700
                                                                              cy/hr
Dredging Time: Use one-half the yardage without
a booster and one-half with one booster
437,250 \text{ cy} \div 1700 \text{ cy/hr} - 17.00 \text{ hrs/day}
                                                                     15 1
                                                                              days
437,250 \text{ cy} \div 1700 \text{ cy/hr} - 16:00 \text{ hrs/day}
                                                                     <u> 16 1</u>
                                                             =
                                                                              days
                                                    Total
                                                                     31.2
                                                                              days
```

3(C) Unconfined Method. Use cutterhead pipeline dredge and pump north to Placement Area 231 with no dike repair cost. Minimum pipeline is 19,000 feet and maximum pipeline is 36,000 feet. Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and deduct one hour per day for one booster in line.

```
Operating time per Day = 18:00 \text{ hours} - 2:00 \text{ hours} = 16.00 \text{ hrs/day}
Cubic Yards per Hour = 1600 \text{ cy/hr}
874,500 \text{ cy} \div 1600 \text{ cy/hr} - 16:00 \text{ hrs/day} = 34.1 \text{ days}
```

4(D) Unconfined Method. Use cutterhead pipeline dredge and pump south to Placement Area 235 with no dike repair cost. Minimum pipeline 10,000 feet and maximum pipeline 28,000 feet. Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and one additional hour per day when booster is in line.

```
Operating time per Day 18 00 hours - 1 hour
                                                                       17.00 hrs/day
Operating time with Booster 18 00 hours - 2 hours
                                                                       16:00
                                                                                hrs/day
                                                              =
Cubic Yards per Hour use
                                                                       1700
                                                                                cy/hr
                                                              =
437,250 \text{ cy} - 1700 \text{ cy/hr} - 17:00 \text{ hrs/day}
                                                              =
                                                                       15 1
                                                                                days
437,250 \text{ cy} \div 1700 \text{ cy/hr} \div 16 00 \text{ hrs/day}
                                                              =
                                                                       16 1
                                                                                days
                                                     Total: =
                                                                       312
                                                                                days
```

5(I) Gulf Placement by Scow Barge to Existing Placement Site. This alternative involves clamshell dredging and transporting by scow barges to the placement area currently approved for the Brazos Island Harbor (BIH) entrance channel. The minimum one-way haul distance is 15 miles or 30 miles per trip. The maximum one-way haul is 19 miles or 38 miles per round trip.

USACE\Laguna 660 -10-

The equipment available for this dredging would be: 2 each 4000-cubic-yard scows and 2 each 2000-cubic-yard scows, and a 12-cubic-yard clamshell bucket dredge. Due to the shallow draft of the GIWW, the scows would be light loaded to 1000 cubic yards for the 2000-cubic-yard scows and 2000 cubic yards for the 4000-cubic-yard scows. The average load would then be 1500 cubic yards per trip. Due to the draft restrictions along the travel route, the speed of the towing vessel will be less than optimum.

Use 4 loads per day as the average daily production:

4 loads x 1500 cubic yards per load = 6000 cy/day 874,500 cubic yards \div 6000 cy/day = 146 days

6(K) Gulf Placement with Beneficial Use at a New Placement Site. This alternative would use the dredged material to create a submergent berm to absorb wave energy and decrease shoreline erosion. This material is very silty and there are concerns that it will serve the intended purpose for such a small application. Ground swells and current could move this material from the berm site. The method selected for placing this material is a clamshell dredge with bottom dump scows. Bern construction utilizing a cutterhead pipeline dredge would have drastically reduced operating time and efficiency. It would be very difficult to hold a pipeline in place and develop economic operating time in the open Gulf environment. Also, since the material is transported as a slurry and has long settlement times, it is unlikely that any berm or mound could be constructed with this technique. Equipment and operating conditions will be the same as alternative 5(I).

The average scow barge load would be 1500 cubic yards per load. The number of loads per day would be reduced to 3.5 due to additional time involved in positioning the scow in line with the berm and placing the material

Average Daily Production 3 5 loads/day x 1500 cy = 5250 cy/day 874,500 cubic yards \div 5250 cy/day = 167 days

7(L) Open Bay Placement in a New Placement Area that has no Seagrasses This alternative involves placement of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge and placed by a bottom placement method to increase the elevation of the bay bottom to a level that would support new seagrass growth An electronic positioned spill barge with a diffuser on the discharge end would be required to control the placement of this material Minimum pipeline is 10,000 feet and the maximum pipeline is 18,000 feet. Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and one hour for delays associated with the spill barge

Operating time per Day is 18:00 hours - 2 hours = 16:00 hrs/dayCubic Yards per Hour = 1600 cy/hr $874,500 \text{ cubic yards} \div 1600 \text{ cy/hr} \div 16 \text{ hrs/day}$ = 34.2 days

USACE\Leguna.660 -11-

8(M) Beach Placement at an Existing Beach Placement Site by Pipeline. This alternative involves placement of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to the beach north of the BIH entrance channel. The shoal material is primarily silt with some very soft mud. This material will not build up any kind of beach fill and could possibly erode more beach than it will build. The minimum pipeline length is 32,000 feet and the maximum pipeline is 46,000 feet. Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and one hour per day for each booster in the line.

```
Operating time with 1 Booster 18.00 hours - 2 hours
                                                             16 00
                                                                    hrs/dav
Operating time with 2 Boosters 18:00 hours - 3 hours
                                                     =
                                                             15.00
                                                                    hrs/day
Cubic Yards per Hour (1 Booster)
                                                             1600
                                                                    cy/hr
Cubic Yards per Hour (2 Boosters)
                                                             1500
                                                                    cy/hr
437,250 cubic yards - 1600 cy/hr - 16 hrs/day
                                                             17 1
                                                                    days
437,250 cubic yards \div 1500 cy/hr - 15 hrs/day
                                                             19 4
                                                     =
                                                                    days
                                             Total
                                                             36.5
                                                     =
                                                                    days
```

9(N) Beach Placement at a New Beach Placement Site by Pipeline This alternative involves placement of the material removed by cutterhead pipeline dredge to the beach on the east side of Padre Island, immediately east of channel station 55+000 The shoal material is silt and very soft mud, and will not form any kind of typical beach fill. There will probably be turbidity and water quality concerns which are not estimated for in the operating time and production Minimum pipeline length is 29,000 feet and the maximum pipeline is 39,000 feet Deduct one hour per day for handling subline and one hour per day for each booster in the line.

```
Operating time with 1 Booster 18 00 hours - 2 hours = 16.00 hrs/day

Cubic Yards per Hour with 1 Booster = 1600 cy/hr

874,500 cubic yards - 1600 cy/hr - 16 hrs/day = 34.2 days
```

10(O) Upland Placement Site on Padre Island This alternative involves placement of material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to a new confined placement area on Padre Island, immediately east of channel station 55+000. Approximate size of placement area would be 180 acres with a 7-foot high dike and one spillway. Since the dike material is 100% sand, the dikes will require visqueen around the complete inside slope. The minimum pipeline length is 25,000 feet and the maximum pipeline is 35,000 feet. For estimated operating time per day, deduct one hour for handling subline and one hour for each booster in the line.

```
Operating time per Day 18:00 hours - 2 hours = 16:00 hrs/day

Cubic Yards per Hour with 1 Booster = 1600 cy/hr

874,500 cubic yards - 1600 cy/hr ÷ 16 hrs/day = 34 2 days
```

USACE\Laguna 660 -12~

11(Q) Upland Placement at Placement Area 241: This alternative involves the most economical of two alternatives: dredging by cutterhead pipeline dredge and pumping the material to Placement Area 241; or removal of material by clamshell and barging the material to Placement Area 241 and pumping out Placement Area 241 will require dike repairs. Due to the availability and cost of hopper barges and barge pumpout units, the cutterhead pipeline method is more economical than the clamshell method.

The minimum pipeline length is 45,000 feet and the maximum pipeline length is 65,000 feet. For operating time per day, deduct one hour per day for handling sublines and one hour per day for each booster in the line.

```
Operating time/Day with 2 Boosters 18:00 hrs - 3 hrs
                                                                15 00 hrs/day
Operating time/Day with 3 Boosters 18.00 hrs - 4 hrs
                                                        =
                                                                14.00
                                                                       hrs/day
Cubic Yards per Hour with 2 Boosters
                                                                1400
                                                                        cy/hr
Cubic Yards per Hour with 3 Boosters
                                                        =
                                                                1300
                                                                        cy/hr
Use 2 Boosters for half the yardage and 3 Boosters for remaining half of yardage:
437,250 cubic yards \div 1400 cy/hr \div 15.00 hrs/day
                                                                20.8
                                                                        days
437,250 cubic yards \div 1300 cy/hr \div 14:00 hrs/day
                                                               <u>24 0</u>
                                                                        days
                                                Total
                                                        ==
                                                                44.8
                                                                        days
```

12(R) Upland Placement at South End of Long Island This alternative is similar to Item 11(Q) but uses a new placement area on the south end of Long Island. Again, the most economical method of dredging will be by cutterhead pipeline dredge due to the availability and cost of scow/hopper barges and pumpout units. There will be new dikes constructed at the Long Island placement site. The site will be approximately 200 acres and have 7-foot dikes with 10-foot crown and 1 on 3 side slope. The material will be semicompacted. One spillbox is estimated for the area. The pipeline lengths, booster locations, operating time and hourly production rates are the same as Placement Area 241

```
437,250 cubic yards - 1400 cy/hr \div 15:00 hrs/day = 20.8 days 437,240 cubic yards \div 1300 cy/hr \div 14:00 hrs/day = 24.0 days Total = 44.8 days
```

13(S) Upland Placement at a New Mainland Placement Site: This alternative calls for the reach to be maintained using a cutterhead dredge with placement in a new placement area on the mainland at a development area in Holly Beach just south of Laguna Atascoa National Wildlife Refuge This new placement site is approximately 180 acres. The new dikes would have a 7-foot height with 10-foot crown and 1 on 3 side slopes There would be one spillbox constructed in the area. The minimum pipeline length is 21,000 feet and the maximum pipeline is 31,000 feet. For operating time per day, deduct one hour for handling sublines and one hour for each booster in the line.

```
Operating Time/Day with 1 Booster 18:00 hrs - 2 hrs = 16.00 hrs/day

Cubic Yards per Hour with 1 Booster = 1700 cy/hr

874,500 cubic yards - 1700 cy/hr - 16 hrs/day = 32 2 days
```

USACE\Laguna 660 -13-

3.0 Alternative Cost Estimates

1(A) Current Method.

(1)	Mobi	lization and Demobilizat	ıon - Us	e 400 mile	28.29	location	to ioh site	Dredge tenders	
\- /	will t	be used as assist boats fo	r towing	vessels		100ation	to job site.	Dieage tenders	
	(a)	400 miles ÷ 3.5 mile	_		=	4.8 day	e		
	(b)	Lock delays		2		1.2 days			
	(c)	Weather delays				1.0 day			
	(-)	··· ··································	One-V	Way Trip	=	$7.0 \mathrm{day}$			
	(d)	Towing vessels return		•	_	3 0 day			
	(e)	Use 2 tugs for dredge			nt•	D o day.	•		
	(-)	towing vessel is \$2,40		omanie prai	11,				
	(f)	Towing cost \$2,400/d	_	a x 10 day	/¢	=	\$ 48,000		
	(g)	Dredge and attendant	-	•	, o	_	Ψ 46,000		
	(8)	while mob. \$15,475/					5 4,163		
	(h)	One day to set up dre	-	_		=	15,475		
	(1)	Overhead on items (g)	_			_	10,446		
	(J)	Profit on items (g), (h				=	8,008 8,008		
	0/	1 10111 OH 1101115 (B), (H	• •	zation Co	ct		\$136,092		
	(k)	Use 50% for Demobil		ization Co	G.		68,046		
	(I)	Mobilization and Dem		ion		LS.	08,040	\$204,138	
	(*/	Moonization and Don	ioonizat.	ion		L J.		φ204,136	
(2)	Pipeli	ne				LS.		-0-	
	(a)	No additional pipeline with the dredge and at		•	han				
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches				LS.	_	-0-	
``	(a)	No dikes, spillways or alternative	ditches	required i	for tl		-	v	
(4)	Dredg	ing GIWW Station 45+	000 to 6	5+000+6	(00 <i>f</i>	512 nav <i>(</i>	·v		
` '	(a)	Dredging time is 27.0			,,,,	ozz puj (-,		
	(b)	Daily dredging cost is	•	5/dav					
	(c)	\$15,475/day x 27 days		,,		=	\$417,825		
	(d)	Overhead - 15%				=	62,674		
	, ,			Subtotal			\$480,499		
	(e)	Contingency - 5%				-	24,025		
	(f)	Profit - 10%				=	48,050		
	• •	•-		Subtotal			\$552,574		
	(g)	Bond - 0.5%				=	2.763		
	(h)	Dredging Unit Price	=	\$0 83 cy				<u>\$555,537</u>	
		5 5		Total Alt		tive 1(A)	<u>\$759,475</u>	
				Total Un			-	\$1.09 cy	
							•		

USACEALaguna 660 -14-

2(B) Unconfined Method.	-Use Cutterhead Pipeline Dredge and Pump North to Placement Area 232	
-------------------------	--	--

(1)	Moh	ilızatıon and Demobilization	•			
(1)	(a)	Mob and demob dredge and	*************		#004 100	
	(a) (b)	Maximum pipeline 26,000' -	-	=	\$204,138	
	(0)	dredge = 21,000 feet of addi				
		pipeline is built on jobsite; th				
		mobilization cost.	eretote no additio	mai		
	(c)	Demob subline, use 400 mile	c /MDU 10	Λ han		
	(0)	Towing vessel \$100/hr and te				
		100 hours x \$150/hr. x 2 tow		=	20,000	
	(d)	50 hours light boat one-way a			30,000	
	(4)	50 hours x \$150/hr. x 2 tows	ind return to base	=	_15,000	
	(e)	Total Mobilization and Demo	hilization	L.S.	_13,000	\$249,138
	(-)	Total Months and Domo	O III LULIOII	D.G.		φ249,136
(2)	Pipel	ines				
	(a)	Maximum pipeline is 26,000'	- 5,000' with			
		dredge = 21,000 feet of addition	ional line for			
		alternative.				
	(b)	Install 10,000 feet of subline t	o start dredging			
		10,000 feet @ \$5.00/ft.		=	\$50,000	
	(c)	Pick up 21,000 feet of subline	at completion			
		21,000 feet @ \$5.00/ft		=	<u>105.000</u>	
	(d)	Total Pipelines		L.S		\$155,000
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches		LS	=	= -0-
(4)	Dreds	ging GIWW Stations 45+000 to	65±000: 699 61	2 pav	CV	
` ,	(a)	Dredging time for dredge is 3		- p-J	-,	
		\$15,475/day @ 31.2 days	J	=	\$482,820	
	(b)	Booster \$5,720/day @ 16 1 da	iys	=	92,092	
	(c)	21,000 feet subline @ \$2.00/f	•		, ,	
		x 31.2 days - 30		=	43,680	
		•	Subtotal		\$618,592	
	(d)	Overhead - 15%			92.789	
			Subtotal:		\$711,381	
	(e)	Contingency - 10%			71,138	
	(f)	Profit - 10%			<u>71,138</u>	
			Subtotal:	=	\$853,657	
	(g)	Bond - 0 5%			4.268	
	(h)	Dredging Unit Price =	\$1 23/cy			<u>857,925</u>
			Total Alternativ			<u>\$1,262,063</u>
			Total Unit Price	e 2(B))	\$1.80/cy

USACE\Laguna 660 -15-

3(C) Unconfined Method. Use Cutterhead Dredge and Pump North to Placement Area 231

(1)	Mob. (a) (b)	ilization and Demobilization Dredge and attendant plant Maximum subline 36,000' - = 31,000 feet of additional i will be built on site; therefor Demobilize subline - Use 40	line. Assume pır e no mobilization	eline		\$204,138	:
		4MPH = 100 hrs x \$150/hr.	x 3 tows	=		45,000	
	(d)	50 hours light boat one-way	3 trips				
	(e)	50 hours x \$150/hr x 3 trips Total Mobilization and Demo	hilization	= Y	C	_22.500	
	(0)	Total Modifization and Denic	DUITZALION	L	3		\$271,638
(2)	Pıpeli	ines					
	(a)	Maximum pipeline is 36,000	' - 5,000' with di	redge	;		
		= 31,000 feet of additional la					
	(b)	Install 19,000 feet of subline	to start dredging				
	4.5	19,000 feet @ \$5 00/ft		=		\$95,000	
	(c)	Pick up 31,000 feet of subline	e at completion				
	(d)	31,000 feet @ \$5 00/ft		=	,	<u>155,000</u>	# 9 50 9 00
	(u)	Total Pipelines		LS	•		\$250,000
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches		LS	S.	=	-0-
(4)	Dredg	ging GIWW Stations 45+000 to	65+000 699,6	12 pa	ay c	:y	
	(a)	Dredging time for dredge and		-	•	•	
		\$15,475/day @ 34 1 days		=	\$	527,698	
	(b)	Booster \$5,720/day @ 34 1 d		=		195,052	
	(c)	31,000' subline x \$2 00/ft/mo) X				
		34 1 days ÷ 30		=	_	70,473	
	(1)	0 1 1 1 7 7	Subtotal		\$	793,223	
	(d)	Overhead - 15%		=	_	118,983	
	(0)	Continues 10%	Subtotal:		\$	912,206	
	(e)	Contingency - 10% Profit - 10%		=		91,221	
	(f)	F10III = 10%	Subtotal·	=	<u>-</u>	91.221	
	(g)	Bond - 0 5%	Subtotal	=	Φī	,094,648 <u>5,473</u>	
	(h)	Dredging unit price =	\$1 57/cy		_	J, +13	\$1,100,121
	\ /		Total Alternati	ve 3/	\mathcal{C}		\$1,621,759
			Total Unit Price	•			\$2.32/cy
				(-,		44.521Cy

USACE\Laguna 660 -16-

4(D) Unconfined Method. Use Cutterhead Pipeline Dredge and Pump South to Placement Area 235.

(1)	Mobi	lization and Demobilization	•			
	(a)	Dredge and attendant plant		=	\$204,138	₹
	(b)	Maximum subline 28,000' -	5.000' with		4401,150	,
		dredge = 23,000 feet of add				
		Assume no mobilization.				
	(c)	Demobilize subline - Use 40	0 miles -			
	• • •	4 MPH = 100 hours x \$150		=	45,000	\
	(d)	50 hours light boat one-way			45,000	•
	• •	50 hours x \$150/hr x 3 trips	o unpo	=	_22.500	
	(e)	Total Mobilization and Demo	bilization	_		
	(-)		Jonization			\$271,638
(2)	Pipeli	nes				
	(a)	Maximum pipeline is 28,000	' - 5,000' with d	iredge		
		= 23,000 feet of additional l				
	(b)	Install 10,000 feet of subline	to start dredging	g		
		10,000 feet @ \$5.00/ft.		_	\$50,000	
	(c)	Pick up 23,000 feet of subline	e at completion		•	
		23,000 feet @ \$5.00/ft	•	=	115,000	
	(d)	Total Pipelines				\$165,000
						, ,
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches			L.S.	= -0-
		-	65+000: 699	612 nax		= -0-
(3) (4)	Dredg	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to		612 pay		= -0-
		ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3	1.2 days	612 pay		= -0-
	Dredg	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is	1.2 days 16.1 days		v cy	= -0-
	Dredg (a)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2	1.2 days 16.1 days days	=	y cy \$482,820	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d	1.2 days 16.1 days days ays		v cy	= -0-
	Dredg (a)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft	1.2 days 16.1 days days ays	=	\$482,820 92,092	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d	1.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo.	=	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u>	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30	1.2 days 16.1 days days ays	=	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal	=	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u>	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is 5 Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15%	1.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo.	=	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15% Contingency - 10%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal	= =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is 5 Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal Subtotal:	= =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616 <u>71,616</u>	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15% Contingency - 10%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal	= =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616 <u>71,616</u> \$859,397	= -0-
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15% Contingency - 10% Profit - 10% Bond - 0.5%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal Subtotal:	= = = =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616 <u>71,616</u>	
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15% Contingency - 10% Profit - 10% Bond - 0.5%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal Subtotal: Subtotal \$1.23/cy	= = = =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616 <u>71,616</u> \$859,397 <u>4,297</u>	\$ <u>863,694</u>
	Dredg (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g)	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to Dredging time for dredge is 3 Dredging time for booster is Dredge \$15,475/day @ 31 2 Booster \$5,720/day @ 16.1 d 23,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft x 31 2 days ÷ 30 Overhead - 15% Contingency - 10% Profit - 10% Bond - 0.5%	11.2 days 16.1 days days ays /mo. Subtotal Subtotal:	= = = = = = =	\$482,820 92,092 <u>47,840</u> \$622,752 <u>93,413</u> \$716,165 71,616 <u>71,616</u> \$859,397 <u>4,297</u>	

- 5(I) Offshore Placement. Use Bucket and Dump Scows to Load and Haul to Existing BIH Placement Site.
 - (1) Mobilization and Demobilization
 - (a) Mob and demob will be estimated from the Louisiana Gulf Coast. This is the nearest possible location of this type of equipment (670 miles). Mobilize 2 each 4,000 cy and 2 each 2,000 cy scows, and 12 cy bucket dredge and attendant plant.
 - (b) One towing vessel will take two scows in a tandem tow: 670 miles 5 MPH 24 hours = 5.6 days, plus 1.4 days (for preparation at start and stop location) = 7 0 days.
 - (c) Towing vessel - Use \$3,000/day per vessel \$3,000/day x 7 days x 2 tugs \$42,000 (d) 4,000 cy scows during mob = \$750/day\$750/day x 7 days x 2 each 10,500 (e) 2,000 cy scows during mob = \$500/day\$500/day x 7 days x 2 each 7,000 = (f) Marine survey for trip 5,000 Marine insurance for trip (g) = 10,000
 - (h) Bucket dredge 670 miles ÷ 100 MPD = 6 7 days + 1.3 days (for preparation) = 8 0 days x \$2,500/day (bucket dredge mob)
 - = 8 0 days x \$2,500/day (bucket dredge mob) = 20,000(i) Towing vessel \$3,000/day x 8 days = 24,000Total Mobilization. = \$118,500
 - (j) Demobilization: No prospects for work in area, therefore, charge demobilization = <u>118,500</u>
 - (k) Total Mobilization and Demobilization L S. \$237,000
 - (2) Pipelines (Clamshell Bucket and Barges Method) N/A
 - (3) Dikes, Spillways and Ditches N/A
 - (4) Dredging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000: 699,612 pay cy
 - (a) Dredging time for 12 cy clamshell dredge is 146
 days. The average roundtrip haul is 34 miles
 The scows are light loaded due to draft restrictions
 - (b) Daily cost for dredge and attendant plant, scows

and towing vessels \$14,230/day @ 146 days = \$2,077,580

(c) Overhead - 15% = 311,637 Subtotal: \$2,389,217

- (d) Contingency 12% = 286,706
- (e) Profit 10% <u>238,922</u> Subtotal \$2,914,845
- (f) Bond 0.5% = 14.574
- (g) Dredging Unit Price = \$4.19/cy \$2.929,419

 Total Alternative 5(I). \$3.166,419

 Total Unit Price 5(I). \$4 53/cy

USACE\Laguna 660 -18-

- 6(K) Gulf Placement with Beneficial Use at a New Placement Site The dredged material would be used to create a submergent berm to absorb wave energy
 - (1) Mobilization and Demobilization

 Mob and demob is same equipment as Alternative 5(I) = \$237,000
 - (2) Pipelines L S. = N/A
 - (3) Dikes, Spillways and Ditches L.S. = N/A
 - (4) Dredging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000. 699,612 pay cy
 - (a) Dredging time for 12 cy clamshell is 167 days. The travel distance, light loading and draft restrictions are the same as Alternative 5(I). Additional time and positioning is required in order to place the material within the berm construction template.
 - (b) Daily cost for dredge, attendant plant, scows and towing vessels:

\$14,230/day @ 167 days = \$2,376,410 (c) Overhead - 15% = 356,462 Subtotal \$2,732,872 (d) Contingency - 12% = 327,945

(e) Profit - 10% = $\frac{273.287}{\text{Subtotal}}$. \$3,334,104

(f) Bond - 0.5% = 16.671

(g) Dredging Unit Price = \$4.79/cy \$3,350,775

Total Alternative 6(K): \$3,587,775

Total Unit Price 6(K). \$5 13/cy

- 7(L) Open Bay Placement in a New Placement Area that has no Seagrass Beds: Use cutterhead to remove shoal and place material by a bottom placement method to increase the elevation of the bay bottom to a level that would support seagrass growth.
 - (1) Mobilization and Demobilization
 - (a) Mobilize dredge and attendant plant = \$204,138
 - (b) Maximum subline 18,000' 5,000' with dredge = 13,000 feet additional line.

 Assume no additional mob weld-up subline on jobsite
 - (c) Demobilize subline Use 400 miles 4MPH
 - = 100 hours x \$150/hr. x 2 tows = 30,000(d) 50 hours light boat one-way, 2 trips
 - 50 hours x \$150/hr x 2 trips = 15,000 (e) Total Mobilization and Demobilization L.S \$249,138
- USACE\Leguna 660 -19-

(2)	Pipel	ines				
(2)	(a)	Maximum pipeline 18,000' -	đơe			
	()	= 13,000 feet of additional l	_			
	(b)	Install 8,000 feet of subline t	•			
		8,000 feet @ \$5.00/ft.	=	\$40,000		
	(c)	Pick up 13,000 feet of line a	t completion.		, ,	
		13,000 feet @ \$5 00/ft	•	=	65,000	
	(d)	Total Pipelines		LS.		\$105,000
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches		LS.	:	= -0-
(4)	Dreds	ging GIWW Stations 45+000 to	65+000 699.6	512 pav	cv	
	(a)	Dredging time for dredge and		r	- ,	
		and spill barge is 34.2 days	•			
		\$15,475/day x 34 2 days		=	\$529,245	
	(b)	Spill barge \$2,010/day @ 34	2 days	=	68,742	
	(c)	13,000' subline x \$2 00/ft./m	no x			
		34.2 days - 30		=	29,640	
			Subtotal		\$627,627	
	(d)	Overhead - 15%		=	94,144	
			Subtotal		\$721,771	
	(e)	Contingency - 12%		=	86,613	
	(f)	Profit - 10%		=	<u>72,177</u>	
			Subtotal		\$880,561	
	(g)	Bond - 0 5%		=	<u>4,403</u>	
	(h)	Dredging Unit Price .=	\$1.26/cy			<u>\$ 884,964</u>
			Total Alternat			<u>\$1,239,102</u>
			Total Unit Price	ce 7(L)):	\$1 77/cy

8(M) Beach Placement at an Existing Beach Placement Site by Pipeline This alternative involves placement of the material removed from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to the beach north of the BIH entrance channel

(1)) M	Iobilization	and	Demobilization

- (a) Mob and demob dredge and attendant plant = \$204,138
- (b) Maximum subline 46,000' 5,000' with dredge = 41,000 feet of additional line. Assume no mob line made upon jobsite.
- (c) Demobilize line 400 miles -4 MPH = 100 hours. 100 hours x \$150/hr. x 4 tows =
- 100 hours x \$150/hr. x 4 tows = 60,000(d) 50 hours light boat one-way, 4 trips = 30,000
- (e) Mobilize one additional booster 400 miles
 - 150 hours (roundtrip) x \$100/hr. (one tug) = 15,000
- (f) Demobilize booster = $\underline{15,000}$
- (g) Total Mobilization and Demobilization L S \$324,138

USACE\Laguna 660 -20-

(2)	Pipel	ines			
• •	(a)	Maximum pipeline 46,000' - 5,0	000' with dredge		
		= 41,000 feet of additional line	for this alternative) .	
	(b)	Install 32,000 feet of line to start	t dredging		
		32,000 feet x \$5.00/ft.	=	\$160,000	
	(c)	Pick up 41,000 feet of line at con	mpletion	•	
		41,000 feet x \$5.00/ft.	=	_205,000	
	(d)	Total Pipelines	L.		\$365,000
(3)	Dikes	s, Spillways and Ditches	L	S. =	= -0-
(4)	Dred	ging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65	+000· 699,612 p	ay cy	
	(a)	Dredging time for dredge, attend			5 days
		Dredge = $$15,475/day @ 36.5 c$		\$564,838	•
		Booster = \$ 5,720/day @ 36.5 d	lays =	208,780	
	(b)	Booster #2 for 19 4 days	•	·	
		Booster = \$5,720/day @ 19.4 da	ays =	110,968	
	(c)	41,000-foot line @ \$2.00/ft /mo.		•	
		x 36.5 days ÷ 30		99,767	
		S	Subtotal:	\$984,353	
	(d)	Overhead - 15%	=	147,653	
		S	ubtotal:	\$1,132,006	
	(e)	Contingency - 10%	=	113,201	
	(f)	Profit - 10%	=	113,201	
		S	ubtotal:	\$1,358,408	
	(g)	Bond - 0.5%	=	6,792	
	(h)	Dredging Unit Price = \$	2.04/cy		\$1,365,200

- 9(N) Beach Placement at a New Beach Placement Site by Pipeline: This alternative involves placement of the material removed by cutterhead pipeline dredge to the beach on the east side of Padre Island immediately east of channel station 55+000.
 - (1) Mobilization and Demobilization
 - (a) Mob and demob dredge and attendant plant = \$204,138
 - (b) Maximum subline 39,000' 5,000' with dredge = 34,000 feet. Assume line delivered to site and fabricated on site. No mobilization.
 - (c) Demobilize line 400 miles 4MPH = 100 hours 100 hours x \$150/hr x 4 tows =
 - (d) 50 hours light boat one-way, 4 trips
 50 hours x \$150/hr. x 4 trips = 30.000
 - (e) Total Mobilization and Demobilization L S \$294,138

Total Alternative 8(M).

Total Unit Price

\$2,054,338

\$2 94/cy

60,000

USACE\Legima.660 -21-

(2)	Pipeli	ines					
	(a)	Maximum pipeline 39,000' - 3	5,000' with dred	ge			
		= 34,000 feet of additional list	ne for this altern	atıve			
	(b)	Install 29,000 feet of line to st	art dredging				
		29,000 feet x \$5.00/ft.		=	\$145,0	00	
	(c)	Pick up 34,000 feet of line at	completion.				
		34,000 feet x \$5.00/ft.		=	_170.00	<u>00</u>	
	(d)	Total Pipelines		L.S	3		\$315,000
(3)	Dıkes	, Spillways and Ditches		L.S	.	=	-0-
(4)	Dredg	ing GIWW Stations 45+000 to	65+000· 699,6	12 pa	y cy		
	(a)	Dredging time for dredge, atte			•		
		booster is 34.2 days	-				
		Dredge \$15,475/day @ 34.2 d	ays	=	\$529,24	15	
		Booster #1 \$5,720/day @ 34.2	days	=	195,62	24	
	(b)	34,000 feet of line x \$2.00/ft /	mo.				
		x 34.2 days ÷ 30		=	77,52	20	
			Subtotal:		\$ 802,38	9	
	(c)	Overhead - 15%		=	120.35	8	
			Subtotal.		\$ 922,74	.7	
	(d)	Contingency - 10%		=	92,27	5	
	(e)	Profit - 10%		=	92,27	<u>5</u>	
			Subtotal.		\$1,107,29	7	
	(f)	Bond - 0 5%		=	5,53	<u>6</u>	
	(g)	Dredging Unit Price =	\$1 59/cy			<u>\$1</u>	<u>,112,833</u>

10(O) Upland Placement Site on Padre Island. This alternative involves placement of material from the shoal by cutterhead pipeline dredge to a new confined placement area on Padre Island immediately east of channel station 55+000.

Total Alternative 9(N)

Total Unit Price 9(N)

\$1,721,971

\$2.46/cy

(1)	Mobilization and Demobilization							
	(a)	Dredge and attendant plant	=	\$204,138				
	(b)	Maximum subline 35,000'- 5,000' with dr = 30,000 feet Assume line delivered to and fabricated on site. No mobilization.	site					
	(c)	Demobilize line 400 miles -4 MPH = 101 hours x \$150/hr x 3 tows	oo nours. =	45,000				
	(d)	50 hours light boat one-way, 3 trips		•				
		50 hours x \$150/hr x 3 trips	=	22,500				
	(e)	Total Mobilization and Demobilization	LS.		\$271,638			

USACE\Laguna 660 -22-

(2)	Pıpeli	ines				
	(a)	Maximum line 35,000' - 5,00				
	(b)	= 30,000 feet of additional li Install 25,000 feet of line to s		iative.	•	
	(4)	25,000 feet x \$5.00/ft.	mit diodging	=	\$125,000	
	(c)	Pick up 30,000 feet of line at	completion.		, ,	
		30,000 feet x \$5.00/ft		=	_150,000	
	(d)	Total Pipelines		L S	5.	\$275,000
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches				
	(a)	Build 12,400 lineal feet of 7-f	oot high retainin	ıg dik	e. Use	
		10-foot crown and 3 on 1 side		00 cu	bic yards	
		gross 124,600 cubic yards @		=	\$155,750	
	(b)	Construct new spillway	LS.	=	35,000	
	(c)	Install visqueen on inside dike	slope			
	45	12,400 feet @ \$3.50/ft		=	43,400	
	(d)	Mob and demob (subcontracto	•	=	50,000	
	(e)	Prime contractor services - 59		=	<u>14.208</u>	
	(f)	Total Dikes, Spillways and Di	itches	L.S	•	\$298,358
(4)	Dredg	ging GIWW Station 45+000 to 6	5+000· 699,61	2 pay	<i>г</i> су	
	(a)	Dredging time for dredge, atte	endant plant and	one	-	
		booster is 34 2 days				
		Dredge \$15,475/day x 34.2 da	ays	=	\$ 529,245	
		Booster #1 \$5,720/day x 34.2	days	=	195,624	
	(b)	30,000-foot line x \$2.00/ft./m	о х			
		34 2 days - 30		=	<u>68,400</u>	
			Subtotal.		\$ 793,269	
	(c)	Overhead - 15%		=	<u> 118,990</u>	
		_	Subtotal:		\$ 912,259	
	(d)	Contingency - 10%		=	91,226	
	(e)	Profit - 10%		=	<u>91,226</u>	
			Subtotal:		\$1,094,711	
	(f)	Bond - 0 5%	•	=	5,474	
	(g)	Dredging Unit Price =	\$1.57/cy			\$1,100,185
			Total Alternati	ve 10	(O)·	<u>\$1.945,181</u>

11(Q) Upland Placement at Placement Area 241. This alternative involves the most economical of two methods one by cutterhead pipeline dredge and pumping into Placement Area 241, and the other by clamshell dredging into scows and pumping out at Placement 241. After considerable investigation, it was determined that cutterhead pipeline dredging would be the more cost-effective method.

Total Unit Price 10(O).

\$2.78/cy

USACE\Laguna 660 -23-

(1)	Mob	ilization and Demobilization			
	(a)	Mob and demob dredge and attendant plant	=	\$204,138	
	(b)	Maximum subline 65,000' - 5,000' with dre	edge		
		= 60,000 feet of additional line for this alte	rnate	Pipe will be	
		delivered to site and assembled, therefore ac	dition	al mobilization	
	(c)	Demobilize 60,000 feet of subline Use 400) miles	-4 MPH =	100 hrs/tow
		x 6 tows 100 hours x \$150/hr x 6 tows	=	90,000	
	(d)	50 hours x \$150/hr x 6 trips	=	45,000	
	(e)	Mobilize 2 ea boosters 400 miles - use			
		150 hrs (roundtrip) x \$100/hr (1 tug) x 2 ea	=	30,000	
	(f)	Demobilize 2 ea boosters	=		
	(g)	Total Mobilization and Demobilization	L.S		\$399,138
(2)	Pıpelı	ines			
	(a)	Maximum pipeline 65,000' - 5,000' with dre	edge		
		= 60,000 feet of additional line for this alter	rnative		
	(b)	Install 45,000 feet of subline to start dredgin	g		
		45,000' x \$5.00/ft.	=	\$225,000	
	(c)	Pick up 60,000 feet of line at completion		r	
		60,000° x \$5.00/ft.	=	_300,000	
	(d)	Total Pipelines	LS	5	\$525,000
(3)	Dikes	, Spillways and Ditches			
	(a)	Placement Area 241. Construct 4,650 feet o	f new	dıke	
		and repair 9,750 feet of dike 6-foot dike he			
		10-foot crown and 3 on 1 side slopes = 112,	000 су	gross	
		112,000 cy (gross) x \$1.35/cy	=	\$151,200	
	(b)	Spillway (minor repair) L S.	=	2,000	
	(c)	No visqueen required	=	-0-	
	(d)	Mob and demob (subcontractor)	=	50,000	
	(e)	Prime contractor services - 5%	=	10,160	
	(f)	Total Dikes, Spillways and Ditches	L.S		\$213,360
(4)	Dredg	ging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000: 699,	612 pa	у су	
	(a)	Dredging time with dredge and 2 boosters 44			
		Dredge \$15,475/day x 44 8 days	=	\$693,280	
		Booster (1&2) \$5,720/day x 44.8 days x 2 ea	ı =	512,512	
	(b)	Add Booster #3 for 24.0 days		·	
		Booster (#3) \$5,720/day x 24 0 days	=	137,280	
	(c)	60,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft/month		-	
		x 44.8 days - 30	=	179,200	
		Subtotal:		\$1,522,272	
	(d)	Overhead - 15%	=	228,341	
		Subtotal		\$1,750,613	

USACEILaguna 660 -24-

(e) Contingency - 12% 210,074 (f) Profit - 10% 175,061 Subtotal. \$2,135,748 (g) Bond - 0 5% 10,679 (h) **Dredging Unit Price** \$3.07/cy \$2,146,427 Total Alternative 11(O): \$3,283,925 Total Unit Price 11(Q): \$4.69/cy

12(R) Upland Placement Site at South End of Long Island. This alternative is similar to Alternative 11(Q), but uses a new placement area The most economical method of dredging will be by cutterhead pipeline dredge.

(1) Mobilization and Demobilization - same as 11(Q) L S. = \$399,138

(2) Pipelines - same as 11(Q) L S. = \$525,000

(3) Dikes, Spillways and Ditches

(a) New Placement Area on Long Island: 12,360 feet of 7-foot dike height with a 10-foot crown and 3 on 1 side slopes 124,200 cy (gross) x \$1.35/cy

124,200 cy (gross) x \$1.35/cy = \$167,670 (b) Construct 1 New Spillway L.S = \$35,000 (c) No visqueen required = -0-(d) Mob and Demob (subcontractor) L.S. = \$50,000

(e) Prime contractor services - 5% = \$12.630

(f) Total Dikes, Spillways and Ditches L.S. \$265,300

(4) Dredging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000 - 699,612 cy

(a) Dredging Unit Price = \$3 07/cy \$2.146,427

Total Alternative 12(R): \$3.335.865

Total Unit Price 12(R) \$4.77/cy

- 13(S) Upland Placement Site at a New Mainland Site: This alternative calls for the shoal to be maintained using a cutterhead dredge with placement in a new placement area at a development area in Holly Beach.
 - (1) Mobilization and Demobilization
 - (a) Mob and demob dredge and attendant plant = \$204,138
 - (b) Maximum subline 31,000' 5,000' with dredge
 = 26,000 feet of additional line for this alternate.
 Pipe will be delivered to site and assembled, therefore no additional mobilization.
 - (c) Demobilize 26,000 feet of subline, 400 miles 4MPH 100 hours x \$150/hr x 3 tows = 45,000

USACE\Laguna.660 -25-

	(d)	50 hours light boat one-way:	-		22 500				
	(a)	50 hours x \$150/hr. x 3 trips Total Mobilization and Demo		== T	<u>22,500</u> S.				
	(e)	Total Wiodilization and Demo	oomzanon	L	۵,	\$271,638			
(2)	Pıpel	ines							
	(a)	Maximum pipeline 31,000' -	5,000' with	ı dredge					
		= 26,000 feet of additional l	ine with this	alternatr	ve				
	(b)	Install 21,000 feet of line to s	start dredgir	ıg					
		21,000 feet x \$5 00/ft.			\$105,000				
	(c)	Pick up 26,000 feet of line at	completion	L					
		26,000 feet x \$5 00/ft.	•	=	_130,000				
	(d)	Total Pipelines		L.	S	\$235,000			
(3)	Dikes	s, Spillways and Ditches							
(5)	(a)	Construct new placement are	a at Holly B	leach 12	400 lineal fee	ıt			
	(4)	of 7-foot high dike with 10-fo	_						
		124,600 cy (gross) x \$1 35/cy		=	\$168,210				
	(b)	Construct one new spillway	-	s. =	35,000				
	(c)	No visqueen required	_	- -	-0-				
	(d)	Mob and demob (subcontract	or)	=	50,000				
	(e)	Prime contractor services - 5	•	==					
	(f)	Total Dikes, Spillways and D		L		\$265,870			
<i>(</i> 1)	· ·	GTT TT 1 000	47.000						
(4)		Dredging GIWW Stations 45+000 to 65+000. 699,612 pay cy							
	(a)	Dredging time with dredge ar			-				
		Dredge \$15,475/day x 32.2 d	•	=	\$498,295				
	<i>a</i> >	Booster #11 \$5,720/day x 32 3	_	=	184,184				
	(b)	26,000 feet subline x \$2 00/ft	./month						
		x 32.2 days - 30		=	55,813				
			Subtotal.		\$738,292				
	(c)	Overhead - 15%		=	<u> 110,744</u>				
			Subtotal		\$849,036				
	(d)	Contingency - 10%		=	84,904				
	(e)	Profit - 10%		=	<u>84,904</u>				
			Subtotal		\$1,018,844				
	(f)	Bond - 0.5%			<u>5.094</u>				
	(g)	Dredging Unit Price =	\$1.46/cy			<u>\$1,023,938</u>			
			Total Alte			<u>\$1,796,446</u>			
			Total Uni	t Price 1:	3(S).	\$2.57/cy			

USACE\Laguna 660 -26-

4.0 Operating and Ownership Cost

4.1 24" or 27" Hydraulic Cutterhead Dredge - 4000 HP Daily Labor Cost:

Dred	-	$\underline{\mathbf{w}}$	eekly Payr	<u>oll</u>
24"	40		\$25,208	
27"	41		28,343	
27"	42		25,874	
Aver	rage Weekly Payroll	<u></u>	\$26,475	per we
Aver	rage Weekly Crew Count	=	41	emp/w
Payro	oll Insurance and Taxes at 45% x 26,475/week	=	\$38,389	per we
Total	l Daily Labor Cost	=	\$5,484	per da
Daily	y Dredge Cost:			
(1)	Labor (total dredge crew. 41 employees)	=	\$ 5,484	per da
(2)	Fuel: 3700 gallons/day @ \$0.75/gallon	=	2,775	per da
(3)	Oil and Grease	=	225	per da
(4)	Supplies \$25,000/mo - 30 days	=	833	per da
(5)	Deprec. Dredge \$60,000/mo - 30 days	=	2,000	per da
(6)	Repairs \$100/hr x 16.5 hours/day average	=	1,650	per da
(7)	Attendant Plant Cost.			
	(a) 3 ea tenders @ \$300/day	=	900	per da
	(b) 1 ea crane and barge @ \$400/day	=	400	per da
	(c) 1 ea derrick barge @ \$250/day	=	250	per da
	(d) 3 ea deck barges @ \$100/day	=	300	per da
	(e) 1 ea survey boat @ \$125/day	=	125	per da
	(f) 1 ea D-4 Dozer @ \$200/day	=	200	per da
	(g) pipe (5000' w/dredge) x \$2.00/ft/mo	- 30 =	<u>333</u>	per da
		Total Cost.	<u>\$15,475</u>	per da
24" o	r 27" Booster 3600 to 4000 HP			
Daily	Booster Cost:			
(1)	Labor: 6 each @ \$135/day	=	\$ 810	per da
(2)	Fuel. 2800 gallons/day @ \$0 75/gallon	=	2,100	per da
(3)	Oil and Grease	==	210	per da
(4)	Supplies \$12,000/month - 30 days	=	400	per da
(5)	Depreciation \$42,000/mo - 30 days		1,400	per da
	Repairs \$50 00/hr x 16 hours/day	=	800	per da
(6)	-	Total Cost	\$5,720	per da
(6)	Barge with Diffuser			
(6) Spill]	Barge with Diffuser Spill Barge Cost:			
(6) Spill]	_	=	\$ 810	per da

USACE\Laguna 660 -27-

4.2

4.3

	450				
	(3)	Barge Rental	=	150	per day
	(4)	4 Drum Hoists for Anchor Lines		200	per day
	(5)	Electronic Positioning Equipment	=		per day
	(6) (7)	Construct and Install Spill Barge, Pipe and Diffi	iser =	.50	per day
	(7)	Supplies	=		per day
			Total Cost	* <u>\$2.010</u>	per day
4.4	Buck	et Dredge and Scows (12-Cubic-Yard Bucket Dr	edge)		
		Cost:	J,		
	(1)	12 cy Clamshell Bucket Daily Rate	=	\$ 5,000	per day
	(2)	4000 cy Bottom Dump Scow	=	1,200	per day
	(3)	4000 cy Bottom Dump Scow	==	1,200	per day
	(4)	2000 cy Bottom Dump Scow	=	800	per day
	(5)	2000 cy Bottom Dump Scow	=	800	per day
	(6)	2400 HP Towing Vessel	=	2,350	per day
	(7)	2400 HP Towing Vessel	=	2,340	per day
	(8)	600 HP Tender Boat	=	530	per day
		ר	Total Cost	<u>\$14,230</u>	per day
4.5	Subli	ne Pipeline Cost			
	(1)	24" or 27" Line, 500-Foot Section			
	(2)	500' x 1/2" Wall Thickness @ \$40/foot	=	\$20,000	
	(3)	Set of Ball and Bells (24" or 27")	=	6,000	
	(4)	Labor and equipment to unload, handle and weld		-,	
		10 each joints of pipe @ \$300/each	=	3,000	
	(5)	Weld one each set of balls and bells to subline		0,000	
		2 each welds @ \$500/each	=	_1,000	
		Total Cost 500-Foot Sect	10n	\$30,000	
	(6)	Estimated life of submerged pipeline due to use,	mobilizatı		
		and demobilization, and handling is 5 years.			
	(7)	Approximate use per year of subline is 50% or 6	months pe	er year.	
	(8)	Total pipeline usage is 5 years @ 6 per year: 30	months.	-	
	(9)	Depreciated cost of pipeline per month			
		$30,000/Section \div 30 Months \div 500'/Section =$	\$2.00/ft	/mo.	

USACENLaguna 660 -28-

 $\Gamma_{\mathbf{X}}$

5.0 Upland Placement Sites

5.1	Placement Area No. 241: 295 Acres = 475,900 cy	/ft			
2.1	Fill Quantity 874,500 cy (gross) - 475,900 cy/ft	/At =	1 9'	fil	1
	Use bulk factor of 1.4 x gross quantity	=	0.8'	fil	
	Freeboard for Placement Area 2' to 3' (use 3')	=	3.0'	fb	
	Required Dike Height	=	5.7°		ıght
	Use 6-foot Dike Height with 10-foot Crown and 1 on			110	-0
	New Dike Requirement	=	4,6	50	feet
	Repair Existing Dike	=	9.7		feet
	Total		14,4		feet
	6-Foot Dike (18+10) x 6' - 27 x 14,400 feet	· =	89,6		cy (net)
	Use 25% for semicompaction and overbuild	=	112,0		cy (gross)
	Minor repairs to existing spillway.		112,0		o) (81000)
5.2	Long Island Placement Area: 200 Acres = 322,70	0 cy/ft			
	Fill Quantity 874,500 cy (gross) - 322,700 cy/ft	=	2.7'	fill	
	Use bulk factor of 1 4 x gross quantity	=	1 1'	fill	
	Freeboard for Placement Area 2' to 3' (use 3')	=	3.0'	fb	
	Required Dike Height	=	6.8'	he	ght
	Use 7-foot Dike Height with 10-foot Crown and 1 on	3 Side S	Slopes		
	New Dike Requirement	=	12,36	50	feet
	7-foot Dike $(21+10) \times 7' - 27 \times 12,360$ feet	=	99,34	10	cy (net)
	Use 25% for semicompaction and overbuild	==	124,20		cy (gross)
	Will be required to construct and install one new spill	way in t	he Place	ment	Area
5.3	Padre Island Placement Area: 180 Acres = 293,30	0 cy/ft			
	Fill Quantity 874,500 cy (gross) - 293,300 cy/ft	=	3 0'	fill	
	Use bulk factor of 1 4 x gross quantity	=	1 2'	fill	
	Freeboard for Placement Area 2' to 3' (use 2 8')	=	<u>2.8'</u>	hei	ght
	Required Dike Height	=	7.0'	hei	ght
	Use 7-foot Dike Height with 10-foot Crown and 1 on	3 Side S	lopes		
	New Dike Requirement	=	12,40	00	feet
	7-foot Dike = $(21+10) \times 70 \div 27 \times 12,400$ feet	=	99,70	00	cy (net)
	Use 25% for semicompaction and overbuild	=	124,60	90	cy (gross)
	Will require one new spillway for placement area.				
	Will require visqueen around the total inside slope of	the dike	- 12,400) feet	••
5.4	Holly Beach Placement Area: 180 Acres = 293,300	cy/ft			
	Fill Quantity 874,500 cy (gross) ÷ 293,300 cy/ft	=	3 0'	fill	
	Use bulk factor of 1.4 x gross quantity	=	1 2'	fill	
	Freeboard for Placement Area 2' to 3' (use 2 8')	=	<u>2.8'</u>	hei	_
	Required Dike Height	=	7.0'	hei	ght

USACEVLaguna 660 -29-

Use 7-foot Dike with 10-foot Crown and 1 on 3 Side Slopes

New Dike Requirement = 12,400 feet

Use 25% for semicompaction and overbuild = 124,600 cy (gross)

Will require one new spillway for placement area

No visqueen required for these dikes.

USACE\Laguna 660 -30-

6.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions:

There should be equipment available to bid on the project. Since there are more cutterhead pipeline dredges and cutterhead work on the Gulf Coast, a scenario which included cutterhead dredges would draw the most competition. Alternatives that require pipeline lengths greater than 25,000 to 30,000 feet tend to reduce some of the competition. Some of the small dredging contractors do not keep a large pipeline inventory and would not make that kind of investment for a short job. It will be more difficult to obtain a clamshell bid. There are some clamshell dredges on the Gulf Coast but only a very few bottom dump scow barges. Bottom dump barges needed for this work may have to be mobilized from the Atlantic Coast.

6.2 Recommendations:

The current method 1(A) is the most cost-effective dredging solution to the shoal. This is due primarily to the short pumping distance (less than 2000 feet) and easy access to the placement sites. Three other methods 2(B), 4(D) and 7(L) are all essentially equal in cost. The total unit cost varies five to ten cents a cubic yard, but for all practical purposes, they can be considered equal. These alternatives may have to be reviewed on their merit more so than their cost-effectiveness. There are five other alternatives 3(C), 8(M), 9(N), 10(Q) and 13(S) that fall into another level of costs. Again, these unit costs could be closer together depending on one particular contractor's approach to the work. The four remaining alternatives would rank last from a relative cost-effective standpoint. These alternatives are 5(I), 6(K), 11(Q) and 12(R). Several of the alternatives envision using this material for beach fill and underwater berm construction. The lack of sand in the material to be dredged raises compatibility issues with respect to the native beach sands. The basic data utilized for this report should be confirmed by additional geotechnical and hydrographic data prior to using the material for beach fill or underwater berm construction.

USACE\Laguna 660 -31-

Table 2 **Dredge Volume Calculations**

Date Surveyed: April 18, 1997								
	Dist	Re	emaining Dep	th			Total	
Line	Interval	End Area	Int Vol.	Tot. Vol.	End Area	int. Vol.	Tot. Vol.	Pay Vol.
Station No.	(FT)	(SF)	(CY)	(CY)	(SF)	(CY)	(CY)	(CY)
45+000	0	687 4	0	0	250.0	0	0	0
45+500	500	699.8	12,844	12,844	250.0	4,629	4,629	17,474
46÷000	500	739.0	13,322	26,167	250 0	4,629	9,259	35,427
46+500	500	715 2	13,466	39,633	250 0	4,629	13,888	53,522
47+000	500	703 8	13,140	52,774	250.0	4,629	18,518	71,292
47+500	500	681 8	12,830	65,604	250 0	4,629	23,148	88,752
48+000	500	598 8	11,857	77,462	250.0	4,629	27,777	105,240
48+500	500	549 6	10,634	88,096	250 0	4,629	32,407	120,504
49+000	500	516 7	9,874	97,971	250 0	4,629	37,037	135,008
49+500	500	562 9	9,996	107,967	250.0	4,629	41,666	149,634
50+000	500	512 4	9,957	117,925	250.0	4,629	46,296	164,221
50+500	500	571 5	10,037	127,963	250 0	4,629	50,925	178,889
51+000	500	523 0	10,135	138,098	250 0	4,629	55,555	193,654
51+500	500	585 3	10,262	148,360	250 0	4,629	60,185	208,546
52+000	500	628 7	11,241	159,602	250 0	4,629	64,814	224,417
52+500	500	611 0	11,480	171,082	250 0	4,629	69,444	240,527
53+000	500	630 9	11,500	182,582	250.0	4,629	74,074	256 656
53+500	500	610 5	11,495	194,078	250 0	4,629	78,703	272,781
54+000	500	649 9	11,671	205,749	250.0	4,629	83,333	289,083
55+000	1,000	667 7	24,402	230,152	250 0	9,259	92,592	322,744
55+500	500	684 1	12,517	242,669	250 0	4,629	97,222	339,891
56+000	500	713 4	12,940	255,610	250 0	4,629	101,851	357,461
56+500	500	717 3	13,247	268,857	250 0	4,629	106,481	375,338
57÷000	500	757 6	13,657	282,514	250 0	4,629	111,111	393,625
57+500	500	746 2	13,924	296,439	250 0	4,629	115,740	412,180
58+000	500	773 7	14,073	310,513	250 0	4,629	120,370	430,883
58+500	500	780 3	13,926	324,439	250 0	4,629	125,000	449,439
59+000	500	718 0	13,400	337,840	250 0	4,629	129,629	467,469
59+176	176	738.5	4,749	342,589	250 0	1,631	131,261	473,850
59+500	324	753 1	8,943	351,532	250 0	2,997	134,259	485,791
60+000	500	773 1	14,131	365,664	250 0	4,629	138,888	504,552
61+000	1,000	804 1	29,208	394,872	250 0	9,259	148,148	543,020
61+500	500	766 8	14,546	409,418	250 0	4,629	152,777	562,196
62+000	500	806 5	14,569	423,987	250 0	4,629	157,407	581,395
62+500	500	801 3	14,888	438,876	250 0	4,629	162,037	600,913
63+000	500	847 0	15,263	454,139	250 0	4,629	166,666	620,806
63+500	500	845 2	15,670	469,809	250 0	4,629	171,296	641,105
64+500	1,000	794 7	30,370	500,180	250.0	9,259	180,555	680,735
65+000	500	743 9	14,247	514,427	250 0	4,629	185,185	699 612

Total Pay Volume:

699,612













